

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. INTRODUCTION** -1-
- II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE** -3-
- III. PARTIES** -3-
 - A. Plaintiff** -3-
 - B. Defendants** -3-
- IV. DEFENDANTS’ UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES** -5-
 - A. Defendants Trick Computers to Visit Their Network of Web Sites.** -5-
 - B. Defendants Exploit a Vulnerability in Certain Versions of the IE Explorer to Download Spyware to Consumers’ Computers.** -6-
 - C. Defendants’ Spyware Modifies the IE Web Browser, Installs More Spyware and Other Software, And Causes A Stream of Pop-Up Ads To Be Displayed to Computers.** -7-
 - D. Defendants Disseminate Pop-Up Ads for “Anti-Spyware” Products to the Same Computers They Have Infected With Spyware.** -10-
 - E. Defendant’s Practices Have Caused Widespread and Substantial Injury to Consumers** -12-
- IV. LEGAL ARGUMENT** -14-
 - A. Section 13(b) of the FTC Act Authorizes this Court to Grant the Requested Relief** -14-
 - B. This Case Meets the Standard for the Issuance of a TRO and a Preliminary Injunction** -15-
 - 1. The FTC is Likely to Prevail on the Merits -16-
 - a. The Injury That Defendants’ Practices Cause is Substantial ... -17-
 - b. The Injury That Defendant’s Practices Cause Is Not Offset By Benefits to Consumers or Competition -20-
 - c. Consumers Cannot Reasonably Avoid the Injury Caused by Defendant’s Practices -20-
 - d. Defendants Operate as a Common Enterprise and Mr. Wallace is Individually Liable. -21-
 - 2. The Balance of Hardships Favors the Issuance of an Injunction -24-

3. Immediate Injunctive Relief is in the Public Interest -25-

C. A TRO Order Requiring Defendants’ to Remove the Dangerous Code from Their Web Sites and Servers and to Preserve Documents and Granting the FTC Expedited Discovery Is Necessary to Preserve Effective Final Relief -26-

V. CONCLUSION -28-